

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSUNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/724,859	11/28/2000	FRANK J. CALZONE	MBHB00-1215	9410
20306	7590 03 27 2002			
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF			EXAMINER	
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	(-
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	(c)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/724,859

Applicant(s)

Calzone et al.

Examiner

Prema Mertz

Art Unit **1646**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 20, 2001 2a). This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X. Claim(s) 1-54 ______ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ... Claim(s) ______ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) X. Claims 1-54 Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10). The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) 11) approved b) disapproved. 12)... The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

To the Contribution of Adelth Favory necessary Granifornation Disclosure Statement's PTO 1449, Paper No.s.

Office Action Summary

20 Othe

Tall to sell the treatment aspignment on the

Application/Control Number: 09/724.859

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 1-8, 10-11, 42-46, are drawn to a polynucleotide encoding an IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, a vector, a host cell and a process for producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.
- Group II. Claims 18-32, 34-35 are drawn to antibody to an IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.
- Group III. Claims 9, 13-17, 37, 38, 39-41 are drawn to an IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
- Group IV. Claim 47 is drawn to a method of treatment by administering the IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- Group V. Claim 36, 48 is drawn to a method of diagnosing a condition by determining the presence of the IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- Group VI. Claim 49 is drawn to a device comprising cells secreting the II.-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide. Class and subclass undeterminable.
- Group VII. Claims 12, 50-51, 54 are drawn to a method of identifying a compound which binds to the IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.2.
 - Group VIII. Claim 52 is drawn to a method of treatment by administration of DNA encoding

Application/Control Number: 09/724,859

Art Unit: 1646

Group IX. Claim 53 is drawn to a transgenic non-human animal comprising the nucleic acid encoding IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 800, subclass 21.

Group X. Claim 33 is drawn to a method of treating a disease by administering the antibody to the IL-1 receptor antagonist-like polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 139.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, VI and IX are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The polynucleotide of invention I can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest. The protein of invention III can be used as a probe, or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening. The antibody of invention II can be used to obtain the polynucleotide of Group I, and can also be used in diagnostics, e.g. as a probe in immunoassays.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein can be prepared by materially different processes, such as by chemical synthesis, or obtained from nature using various isolation and purification protocols.

Inventions I and VIII. IX are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be

Application/Control Number: 09/724,859

Art Unit: 1646

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as a hybridization probe.

Inventions III and IV, VI, VII are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention III can also be used as an antigen in the production of antibodies.

Inventions II and V, X are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention II can also be used in immunochromatography.

Inventions I and IV, VI-VII, IX, X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions II and IV, VI-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that

Application/Control Number: 09/724.859

Art Unit: 1646

have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions III and V, VII-IX, X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions VI and V, VII-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions IV, V, VII-VIII, X are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/724.859 Page 6

Art Unit: 1646

2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R.

§ 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (703) 308-4229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4227. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Prema Mertz Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646 February 27, 2002